G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—20 12-13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1892-3
~~191~~
(Inwards)

File No. 154

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Passing orders on the attachment of a Jofe belonging to the Venkataramanaswamy temple at Palyakere, Bagepalli Taluk

PREVIOUS REFERENCES. LATER REFERENCES

M. No. 57

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 247 & 248 dated 11th & 20th July 92 received at the Dewan's Office from Ramachari, Archik of the Venkataramanaswamy Temple at Pallyakere Village, Bagepally Taluk

are ~~is~~ forwarded, ~~together with its~~ together with its third enclosures, ~~enclosures~~, to the Dy: Commissioner, Kolar District for necessary action.

If the Tope referred to in the Petition is an Inam land belonging to the Venkataramanaswamy Temple as alleged, steps should be taken to get the property released from attachment

By Order,

Draft-

Bangalore, 21st July 1892.

Muzrai Secretary.

52

From the Sameno 3034 87

MUZARAI

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
442
MUZRAI BRANCH

From D. C. Kolar.

The ~~Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.~~

To The Muzrai Dey

Dated 5

Despatched 8

Received 10th April 93

Enclosures No.

General No. 3034

~~Muzarai P. No.~~ 427

Soliciting orders on the attachment of a tope belonging to the Venkataramanaswami Temple, Palyakere, Bagepalli Taluk.

To the Govt. advocate
No 654 dt 14/4/93
MT 150.92

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore—12-1-93.—1,000.

No: 3034 Rec'd 14/Cancel file
727

Kolar Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Dated 5 April 1893.

To

The Muzrai Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

Forward Dy
Cancel order
Do for
favourable for
favour of Govt
Do
as
No 1419 3

Herewith returned
to their enclosures.

With reference to your official Memorandum No: 57 dated the 21st August 1892, forwarding for necessary action 2 petitions from Ramachari, Archick of the Venkataramanaswami temple at Palyakere village Bagepalli Taluk, regarding the attachment of a tope situated on an Inam land belonging to the said temple, I have the honor to submit herewith Copy of the Chickballapur Sub Division Officers Letter No: 528 dated the 22nd Ultimo together with its original enclosures as per accompanying Ferist, explaining the circumstances of the case and to request that you will be good

good enough to obtain the orders of Government as to the steps to be adopted in the matter after consulting the Government advocate, if necessary.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

[signature]

Deputy Commissioner.

Fraser

4

Sub Division Office,
Chikballapur
Dated 22nd March 1893

No 528.

From,

The Sub Divisional Officer
Chikballapur

To The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Sir!

With reference to your No 776/491 dated the 28th August last forwarding Inspr Secretary's official Memorandum No 157 dated the 21/22 idem relating to certain property belonging to the temple of Venkataramanaswami at Palyakere, Walpally, Talook, attached in satisfaction of a decree passed by the Chikballapur Munsiff, I have the honor to forward the accompanying papers bearing on the case ——

2 The amildar's report gives full particulars of the lands attached to the temple, viz 105 [illegible]

the

the plot of land now in question
this originally belonged to the
temple to which it was con-
joined in the Inam Settlement
as may be seen from the
Sikkeed attached. It appears
that Shanbogue Venkatnarsap-
pa, father of the judgment debtor
Appa, father of the judgment debtor
Venkatroyappa, and an influential
man in those parts undertook
to plant trees on the land for
the benefit of the temple, and
was taking the produce of the
trees in return, to celebrate
certain utsavams or festivals
in the temple. This appears to
have been done with the Consent
of the then Pujari, the father
of Ramachary the petitioner
the tope appears to have been
planted chiefly for the
benefit of the temple and
Venkatnarsappa who appears
to have been a devotee of the
temple took the produce
from the proceeds of which
he appears to have defrayed
the expenses of the utsavams
This Son Venkatroyappa declined
in

in prosperity and in course of time gradually reduced the contributions to the temple and diverted the funds from the original object of his father. More appears to have been the alienation of the land. Of the original arrangement under which the topes was planted and its produce was to be applied to the use of the temple, the amildar says there is no record.

3 The land was attached in satisfaction of a decree against Venkatrayappa. The present Vaspari put in a claim on the strength of a suspicious deed of gift alleged by him to have been executed by Venkatrayappa but this deed of gift was found to be a forgery and as the Vaspari had admitted the possession of the defendant in some previous proceedings, the claim was disallowed in the Munsiff's Court.

4 The question now is what is to be done. I think a claim under Section 278 of the Civil Procedure Code may be preferred

I refer it to Government for the trustees of the temple. The Lagoon contracts, cannot bind the temple. The contractors must look to Government for compensation & alienation of land dealt with in the Chief Commissioners Notifications of the 17th November 1877 (page 88 Mysore Revenue Manual 1886) Perhaps the question may be referred to the Government Advocate for his opinion.

Yours &c.

(Sgd) A Krishnaswamy Iyengar
Asst Commr.

Copy

[illegible]
Dy Commissioner

Asst

MUZARAYI.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893. ✓

From

To The Govt. Advocate in Mysore Bangalore

Dated 12 April 93

Despatched 13

Received

Enclosures No. 1 file

General No. 654
Muzarayi. F. No. 154-92

Has the honor to forward herewith for favor of opinion and obliging return the entire file received in this office from the Dy Commr Kolar Dist with his letter No. 3034/727 dated 5th Inst regarding the attached sketch of a tope situated on an Inam land belonging to the Venkatramanaswami temple at Palyachere village in

From the same no 618/154/93

in the Bagéha
Taluk.

C

Bay or

Secretary
6.4.

(General Serial No. 30.)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE — MUZRAI BRANCH — 402

189

From The Government Advocate in Mysore.

To The Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore Muzrai Department.

Dated 15th April 1893.

Despatched

Received 18th

Enclosures No. 1 File.

General No. 61
No.

Send copy to Board to take such action as may be deemed advisable by the Board

18/4/93

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. 654 / 154-92 of the 12th instant I have the honor to state that I agree with the Chickballapur Sub-Divisional Officer in thinking that a claim may be preferred by the Muzrai Department on behalf of the Temple.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant.

C. Narasinga Iyengar
Government Advocate

*, Enclosures returned herewith.

To D.C. Kolar No. 684 / MT 154-92 19/4/93

MUZARAI.

(General Serial No. 30)

1893.

From

The Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To The Dy Com^r Kolar

Dated 19th

Despatched 19 april

Received

Enclosures No. 1 & file

General No. 684 R

Muzarai. F. No. 154-92

With reference this docket No 3034 dated the 5th Instant soliciting orders on the question of the attachment of Archa belonging to the Venkata ramana swami Temple Paliyatore, I respectfully Sahib, have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No 61 dated the 15th Inst. from the Govt Advocate conveying his opinion on the case and request that a claim may ac-

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore—12-1-93.—1 000.

accordingly be prepared by the Sub-Ordinate officers, Clerk
Bailiffs &c on behalf of the Crown
in the Magistrates' Court
as the trustee of the
estate of the bankrupt

Complete

2. The file of the proceedings is returned herewith.

By order

A.S.

C.V.